## Jia Le (LKK Auto)

From:

Sent: To:	Friday, 30 October 2020 5:00 PM Jia Le (LKK Auto)	
Subject:	Re: Vehicle Number SKS7475L - SHB3111M	
•		
repairs of other motorists. The claim of several thousand doll matter to the attention of the in In my opinion, the medical lea huge claim that was submitted	airs and paintworks in recent years. I have also seen repair bills of body work e cost of repairs is only a few hundred dollars in both cases. Yet you allowe lars. I cannot understand the disparity in cost of repairs and intend to bring insurance company. Eave given and expenses claimed are very dubious. I am also stunned by the d by the taxi driver's lawyers. I do not know how much you have agreed to particularly unfair. I request you to arrange for a meeting with AIG's representation.	ed a the pay
On Mon, Oct 26, 2020 at 1:57	7 PM Jia Le (LKK Auto) < <u>JiaLe@lkkauto.com</u> > wrote:	
Dear Solomon,		
Dear Solomon,		
We have repeatedly viewed the reversing.	e video which clearly revealed that taxi stopped behind your vehicle prior to your	r
front vehicle who was turning t	stopped behind your vehicle is not dropping any passenger but to give way to its towards opposite lane(refer to the video). To reverse the vehicle with flashing light your intention but you still have to ensure the safety of the rear before reversing	
With regards to the medical cla	aim, our principal is currently looking into the matter.	
Third party has stopped his veh that you do not have a good cas	hicle behind you before you reversing the vehicle. Therefore, we are of the opinionse to defend.	on
Thank you.		

Solomon Fang <solfang@gmail.com>

Note: We are on work from home arrangement. All correspondence should be made via email. Submission of claim related documents will be in softcopy. Any inconvenience caused is much regretted.

Best Regards,

**Chan Jia Le** | Case Handler

## LKK Auto Consultants Pte Ltd

Phone: 6749 5792 | email: <u>Jiale@lkkauto.com</u> | fax: 6741-4108

Blk 51, Paya Ubi Industrial Park, Ubi Avenue 1, #02-25 | S(408933)

From: Solomon Fang [mailto:solfang@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, 12 October 2020 6:56 PM

To: Jia Le (LKK Auto)

Subject: Vehicle Number SKS7475L - SHB3111M

Dear Jiale,

The time shown on the video that was sent by you is about 10 minutes after the incident happened. You can check the photographic evidence submitted by me which confirms my statement above. Their video has no relevance to support their claim. Please take this into consideration in your submission.

In my view the taxi driver was inattentive. It is clear that he was intending to stop his taxi behind my car to drop off his passengers. Could he be in a hurry? He should have seen my flashing reverse lights indicating my intent to reverse my car. Reverse lights and gear were already engaged for several minutes. How did he miss seeing my flashing reverse lights which were right in front of him, and clearly in his view as there was no traffic to block his view.

The taxi driver's lawyer also claimed that his client suffered "injury" that required 2 days medical leave. This injury cannot be believed because the cars "touched lightly". It was not a robust collision. I have a witness in my vehicle that will testify to this statement that I have made.

In my view, the taxi driver is at fault. I was already reversing my car to the location where our vehicles collided. It was clear that he had an unobstructed view of the traffic condition 70 to 80 meters ahead. Therefore it is clear I have the right of way. As such I would request you to take this into consideration in adjusting the damages claimed.

_	D1	1		
- 1	Γha	nk	VO	u.

Yours faithfully,

Fang Chang Chhun, Solomon

Tel 97972819

Email: solfang@gmail.com

Copy: To AIG

Sent from Mail for Windows 10